

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/510,211	BOS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tae H. Yoon	1796	

All Participants:

(1) Tae H. Yoon.

(2) Mr. Davidson.

Date of Interview: 2 September 2008

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 10:19 A.M.

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 7 and 8

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The recited "poly-alpha-olefin" in claims 7 and 8 is confusing and consistency is needed with respect to claim 1. Claim 1 needs subscripts. A typo in page 7 is noted

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Tae H Yoon/ Tae H Yoon
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)